Arrhythmias in Patients with Daily vs. Non-Daily Symptoms **Undergoing Long-Term Continuous Patch ECG Monitoring** Anthony J. Battisti PhD¹, Relana Pinkerton PhD¹, Vladimir Fokin PhD¹, Brent Wright RN, DrPHc¹, Mintu P. Turakhia MD, MAS^{1,2}

Background

- Patch-based long-term continuous ambulatory ECG monitoring (LTCM) of 14 days has shown greater arrhythmia yield compared to <48 hour Holter.
- However, Holter remains in use for patients who report daily symptoms based on clinical or payor preferences.

Objective

• We compared arrhythmia yield in patients based on symptom frequency.

Methods

- Retrospective cohort study of Zio[®] monitor or XT LTCM (iRhythm Technologies, San Francisco, CA) devices prescribed in the US between June 2023 and July 2024 (Figure 1).
- Devices included a patient-activated button to document symptoms.
- Inclusions: Patients \geq 18 years; Devices worn for >7to 14 days.
- Exclusions: 100% AF.
- Data were stratified by observed symptom frequency, defined as the number of button presses/day:
 - Daily Symptoms ($\geq 1/day$), or
 - Non-Daily Symptoms (< 1/day)
- ECG data was analyzed via a deep-learned Al algorithm and confirmed by certified cardiographic technicians.
- Mean time to first episode and % detection within 48 hrs. were determined for each arrhythmia type.
- Odds Ratios were calculated to compare Daily vs. Non-Daily frequency groups within the first 48 hours of wear time. Logistic regression models were used to calculate odds ratios adjusting for age and sex difference.



¹iRhythm Technologies, San Francisco, CA; ²Stanford University, Stanford, CA



Table 1. Arrhythmias Detected at 48 hours by Symptom Frequency

Rhythm	Total Population		Detection by Symptom Frequency					
			Daily Symptoms (n = 229,0	≥1 per day) 005	Non-Daily ⁺⁺⁺ Symptoms (<1 per day) n = 871,332			
	Total Wear Detection n = 1,100,337	48 Hour Detection	Detection within 48 hours (%)	Days to First Episode (Mean ± SD)	Detection within 48 hours (%)	Days to First Episode (Mean ± SD)	Odds Ratio* (95% CI)	P-value
Total Arrhythmia Yield ⁺	78.5% (863,393)	68.2% (589,046/863,393)	59.4% (87,946/148,061)	2.71 ± 3.26	70.1% (501,100/715,332)	2.01 ± 2.86	0.63 (0.62-0.63)	<0.0001
Actionable Arrhythmias ⁺⁺	35.8% (393,892)	39.5% (155,447/393,892)	36.0% (20,710/57,519)	4.52 ± 3.96	40.1% (134,737/336,373)	4.20 ± 3.93	0.84 (0.83-0.86)	<0.0001
AF	7.2% (79,329)	62.8% (49,808/79,329)	66.5% (6,281/9,450)	2.37 ± 3.37	62.3% (43,522/69,879)	2.68 ± 3.56	1.20 (1.15-1.26)	<0.0001
SVT (AII)	71.3% (784,824)	66.5% (521,513/784,824)	58.4% (77,999/133,549)	2.77 ± 3.27	68.1% (443,514/651,275)	2.15 ± 2.94	0.66 (0.65-0.67)	<0.0001
SVT Sustained $^{++}$	6.5% (71,275)	20.6% (14,683/71,275)	21.3% (2,144/10,054)	6.42 ± 3.98	20.5% (12,539/61,221)	6.63 ± 4.05	1.05 (1.00-1.11)	0.053
VT	25.5% (280,287)	30.7% (86,174/280,287)	27.7% (11,427/41,251)	5.22 ± 3.91	31.3% (74,747/239,036)	4.95 ± 3.95	0.84 (0.82-0.86)	<0.0001
VF	0.01% (116)	18.1% (21/116)	20.0% (5/25)	5.25 ± 3.56	17.6% (16/91)	6.20 ± 3.63	1.17 (0.38-3.59)	0.781
Pause	3.2% (35,032)	36.9% (12,291/35,032)	38.0% (1,649/4,335)	4.36 ± 3.81	36.7% (11,272/30,697)	4.61 ± 3.94	1.06 (0.99-1.13)	0.092
AV Block (Any 2 nd Degree or CHB)	1.5% (16,715)	47.0% (7,856/16,715)	43.8% (966/2,208)	4.08 ± 3.98	47.5% (6,890/14,507)	3.82 ± 3.94	0.86 (0.79-0.94)	0.001

pisode of AF 230 sec, **SVI 290 ppm & 24 deats**, VI 2100 ppm & 24 deats, any VF, Pause 23 sec, and/or AVB (any 2^{10} Deg or CHB). $^{++}$ Actionable Arrhythmia defined as AF \geq 30 sec, SVT \geq 90 bpm & \geq 30s, VT \geq 100 bpm & \geq 4 beats, any VF, Pause \geq 3 sec, and/or AVB (any 2nd Deg or CHB). ⁺⁺⁺ Includes 32.7% (284,784/871,332) of patients who reported no symptoms. ^{*}Odds Ratios and P-values compare rates of detection within 48 hours for Daily vs. Non-Daily Symptoms groups.

Cohort Description

Cohort size of 1,100,337 patients:

- 21% Daily Symptoms
- 79% Non-Daily Symptoms

• Patients with Daily Symptoms were more likely:

- To be younger (mean age 50.9 vs. 64.1 yrs.)
- To be female (66.8% vs. 52.6%)
- To have lower arrhythmia yield (69.1% vs. 80.9%)

Daily vs. Non-Daily Symptoms Findings

• Patients reporting Daily Symptoms had a longer time to first detected arrhythmia $(2.71 \pm 3.26 \text{ vs.})$ 2.01 ± 2.86 days) and first actionable arrhythmia $(4.52 \pm 3.96 \text{ vs.} 4.20 \pm 3.93 \text{ days})$ than patients with Non-Daily Symptoms (Table 1).

However, Daily Symptom patients experienced a shorter mean time to first detected AF, sustained SVT, VF, and Pause episodes.

Limitations

Conclusions

 In patients reporting daily symptoms with actionable arrhythmias detected through >7 days of LTCM, no actionable arrhythmias were detected during the first 48 hours in 64% of cases. In most patients, Holter (<48 hours) is inadequate for arrhythmia detection, as daily symptom reporting may be uncorrelated to arrhythmia frequency.

Disclosures

• For all arrhythmia types, mean time to first detected episode was >48 hours, regardless of symptom frequency. • Percent detection through 48 hours varied by rhythm type and symptom frequency.

• 36.0% of Daily and 40.1% of Non-Daily patients with Actionable Arrhythmias were detected within 48 hours. • Percentage of total yield detected within 48 hours was lower for Daily (59.4%) vs. Non-Daily (70.1%) patients. • Percent detection of SVT, VT, and AV Block within 48 hours was lower for the Daily vs. Non-Daily group. Percent detection of AF, sustained SVT, VF and Pause within 48 hours was higher for the Daily group. Differences in 48-hour detection between Daily and Non-Daily Symptom patients remained consistent in the age and sex adjusted logistic regression models.

• Symptom frequency was determined from the number of button presses during the monitoring period. Symptoms may have been present but not reported by button press in some cases.

• AJ Battisti, R Pinkerton, V Fokin, and B Wright are employees of and have received equity from iRhythm Technologies, Inc.

• Dr. Turakhia has received equity from iRhythm, Connect America, Evidently, PocketRN, AliveCor, and Hippocratic.ai. Dr Turakhia is an employee and corporate officer of iRhythm Technologies Inc.